

Review package

HREVN Documentary Review File

Structured case file for review, archive and subsequent legal work

Record ownership assignment so governance progress can continue.

Ownership assignment still needs to be recorded.

Case facts

- Case state: remediating
- Compliance frame: eu_ai_act_annex_iii
- Case ID: 83db630d-12ec-43cf-8b46-352d312c7c0f
- System ID: ab6fae4e-3d2c-418b-b5db-9c071a3f8c18

Findings and objections that remain open

- Human oversight is described informally but there is no durable control definition for when recruiters must override or reject the scoring output.
- The company cannot yet show a durable evidence record for recent bias testing across applicant cohorts.
- Candidate-facing notice and explanation materials are incomplete for the current deployment draft.
- The generated evidence bundle is present but has not yet been signed as a durable review artifact.
- Responsible reviewer requires stronger evidence of human oversight before the company can rely on candidate scoring outputs.

Questions that remain open

- Who is the durable risk owner for this case?
- Should unresolved reviewer objections be resolved or explicitly waived?
- Which human approver should record the next sign-off decision?
- Should the team optimize for the fastest unblock path or for the stronger closure-quality path?

Technical basis and traceability

The technical basis, traceability anchors and compliance context appear in the lower sections.

This document is designed to support a business-facing review decision without losing the technical and compliance basis needed for audit and archive.